

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT EXAMINING OPERATIONS

Applicant:

Seiji Yamashita

Group Art Unit:

1741

Serial No.:

09/507,212

Examiner:

Thao Tran

Filed:

February 18, 2000

Docket No.:

P 00 572.006

Title:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR REDUCING CONTAMINATION IN A

PLASTIC CONTAINER

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail addressed to:
Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20731 on this 26th day of March 2001...

Diane Thomas

Birdwell, Janke & Durando, PLC 900 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 1925 Portland, OR 97204

March 26, 2001

RECEIVED HIR 30 7031 TC 1700 MAIL ROOM

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington DC 20231

Greetings:

In response to the Office action mailed March 13, 2001 in the above-captioned patent application, Applicant provisionally elects, with traverse as noted below, claims 10 - 13 for examination.

Grounds for Traverse

Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirement, for the following reasons:

- 1. As the Examiner recognizes, the inventions of groups I and II are distinct only if (1) the method (of group I) can be practiced by a materially different apparatus, or (2) the apparatus (of group II) can be used to practice another materially different method. Clearly neither of these requirements are met. In fact, the method of claim 1 can *only* be practiced by the apparatus of claim 10, and the apparatus of claim 10 inevitably practices the method of claim 1. More particularly, Claim 1 from which all of the group I claims depend, is drawn to a method comprising coating selected portions of a plastic container with a coating of titanium dioxide. Claim 10, from which all of the group II claims depend, is drawn to the very same container produced by the method.
- The Examiner further states that the inventions of the two groups are unrelated. She bases this assertion on the preambles of the claims, stating that "the apparatus is used to reduce contamination [of] an article[,] whereas the method is for reducing contamination inside a container." This is incorrect for two reasons. First, it is incorrect as a matter of law to consider the claims to be completely defined by their preambles. In fact, preambles typically have no weight at all in determining the scope of a claim. Second, it is logically incorrect to assert that reducing contamination of an article inside a container is unrelated to reducing contamination inside the container. In fact, reducing contamination on an article inside a container necessarily reduces contamination in the container. So, rather than being unrelated as the Examiner asserts, the inventions are necessarily related.

Page 2 - RESPONSE (08/991,332)

The Examiner's suggestion that a different search would be required for (A) a plastic

container having a coating of titanium dioxide, and (B) a method comprising producing a container

having a coating of titanium dioxide, does not make any sense. Any reference that discloses coating

a plastic container with titanium dioxide necessarily discloses a plastic container that is coated with

titanium dioxide. Conversely, any reference that discloses a plastic container that is coated with

titanium dioxide necessarily discloses the method of producing a plastic container coated with

titanium dioxide.

3.

For all of the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that the restriction requirement

should be withdrawn, and all of the claims of the application should be examined.

Respectfully submitted,

Garth Janke

Reg. No. 40,662

OA.006

OLPA			Gp174/		
MAR 2 8 2001	TRANSMITTAL LETTER (General - Patent Pending)		Docket No. P 00 572.006		
In Re Application Of TRADEMARK	eiji Yamashita				
Serial No. 09/507,212	Filing Date February 18, 2000	Examiner Thao Tran	Group Art Unit		
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR RECUCING CONTAMINATION IN A PLASTIC CONTAINER					
TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS: Transmitted herewith is: Response to Restriction Requirement					
in the above identified a	oplication.	·	D DOM ROOM		
No additional fee i A check in the am The Assistant Con as described below Charge the	s required.	to charge and credit Deposit	Account No. 02-2451		
Santh Janks Roy No. 40	index mature	Dated: March 26, 2001			

Garth Janke, Reg. No. 40,662

BIRDWELL, JANKE & DURANDO, PLC

900 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 1925

Fortland, Oregon 97204

503-228-1841

I certify that this document and fee is being deposited on March 23, 2001 with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Diane Thomas

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

CERTIFICATE OF MAPPLICATE OF MAPPLICANT(S): Seiji Yama	S MAIL (37 CFR 1.8)	Docket No. P 00 572.006	
Serial No. 09/507,212	Filing Date February 18, 2000	Examiner Thao Tran	Group Art Unit
MAR 2 8 2001	ND APPARATUS FOR REDUC	ING CONTAMINATION IN A PL	ASTIC CONTINER
TRADENMANHEE		,	TC1
I hereby certify that this	•	irement (Identify type of correspondence) ice as first class mail in an envel	RECEIVED RECTION AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
	er for Patents, Washington, D.C.		80
		Diane Thomas (Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing) (Signature of Person Mailing Corre	mas
	•	,	

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.